

Message

From: Simons, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=652DA36FEB75460DA864EF6504AE0F42-ASIMONS]
Sent: 2/24/2021 10:11:02 PM
To: Orlin, David [Orlin.David@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Simons, Andrew shared "ACUS - Periodic Review - LSB JBW report on Periodic Review of Agency Regulation 2021 02 16.epa cmts" with you.
Attachments: ACUS - Periodic Review - LSB JBW report on Periodic Review of Agency Regulation 2021 02 16.epa cmts.docx

David:

I did some clean up. One question I have for you is whether the comments your team made are okay to share outside the agency.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Andrew Simons
Assistant General Counsel for Regulatory Issues
Cross-Cutting Issues Law Office
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel. 202-564-3649
WJC-N 7522C

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message may contain deliberative, attorney-client, or otherwise privileged material. Do not release this message under FOIA without appropriate review. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Orlin, David <Orlin.David@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2021 1:38 PM
To: Simons, Andrew <Simons.Andrew@epa.gov>
Cc: Serassio, Helen <Serassio.Helen@epa.gov>; Jefferson, Tricia <Jefferson.Tricia@epa.gov>; Srinivasan, Gautam <Srinivasan.Gautam@epa.gov>; Anderson, Lea <anderson.lea@epa.gov>; Dubey, Susmita <dubey.susmita@epa.gov>; Li, Ryland (Shengzhi) <Li.Ryland@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Simons, Andrew shared "ACUS - Periodic Review - LSB JBW report on Periodic Review of Agency Regulation 2021 02 16.epa cmts" with you.

Andy,

I think ARLO is done adding comments to this document—thank you very much for the opportunity to review.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) I ended up circulating the report to OAR and ORD, which as you probably know have the primary responsibility for NAAQS reviews, and management in those offices was fairly surprised to see characterizations of how they staff NAAQS reviews that didn't reflect their views or input.

I'm not sure how you plan to proceed—

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) but if you'd like to have any follow up discussion please let us know.

As an aside, I found it interesting that the best example of “retrospective review” that is identified in the report, section 610 reviews, were universally described as not being helpful or useful but I couldn’t tell if or how that information was reflected in the recommendations of the report.

David Orlin
U.S. EPA, Office of General Counsel
(202) 564-1222

From: Simons, Andrew <Simons.Andrew@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 5:38 PM

To: Orlin, David <Orlin.David@epa.gov>

Subject: Simons, Andrew shared "ACUS - Periodic Review - LSB JBW report on Periodic Review of Agency Regulation 2021 02 16.epa cmts" with you.

I set the permission that anyone in EPA with the link can edit. Hopefully that works.
Andy



This link will work for anyone in Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).



ACUS - Periodic Review - LSB JBW report on Periodic Review of Agency Regulation 2021 02 16.epa cmts

Open



Sender will be notified when you open this link for the first time.

Microsoft respects your privacy. To learn more, please read our [Privacy Statement](#).
Microsoft Corporation, One Microsoft Way, Redmond, WA 98052